1 2	Town of Mount Desert Planning Board Regular Meeting Minutes
3	Meeting Room, Town Hall
4	6:00 PM, October 23, 2019
5	0.00 1 111, 00.00001 20, 2023
6	Public Present:
7	Paul Douglass
8	
9	Board Members Present:
10	Dave Ashmore, Joanne Eaton, Chair Bill Hanley, Meredith Randolph
11	
12	I. Call to order 6:00 p.m.
13	Chair Hanley called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.
14	, g
15	Board Members were noted.
16	
17	II. Approval of Minutes
18	September 25, 2019: MS. EATON MOVED, WITH MR. ASHMORE SECONDING, APPROVAL
19	OF THE SEPTEMBER 25, 2019 MINUTES AS PRESENTED. MOTION APPROVED 4-0.
20	
21	October 9, 2019: Minutes were tabled due to lack of a quorum.
22	<del></del>
23	III. Subdivision Approval Application(s):
24	Салантовит гррповион (с).
25	Sketch Plan & Site Visit:
26	A. OWNER NAME(S): David J. Ashmore
27	Ann M. Ashmore
28	LOCATION: 16 Ashmore Way, Mount Desert
29	<b>TAX MAP:</b> 019 <b>LOT:</b> 014-004 <b>ZONE(S):</b> Rural Woodland 2 (RW2)
30	PURPOSE: Divide a lot, in a previously approved Subdivision (Phase II Sound
31	View Estates File 42 No. 80)
32	SITE INSPECTION: 4:00PM
33	CITE INCI ECTION: 4.001 W
34	Ms. Eaton confirmed adequate Public Notice. Abutters were notified.
35	Mo. Edion committed adequate r abile Notice. Abatters were notified.
36	Mr. Ashmore stated he had a Conflict of Interest and requested recusal. He is the owner of
37	the property in question.
38	the property in question.
39	MS. EATON MOVED, WITH MS. RANDOLPH SECONDING, ACCEPTANCE OF MR.
40	ASHMORE'S RECUSAL. MOTION APPROVED 3-0.
41	ACHINORE O RECOGAE. INCHON ALL ROVED 3-0.
42	Chair Hanley confirmed that three voting Members on the Planning Board constituted a
42	, and the second
	quorum.
44 45	Chair Hanley reported on the Site Visit. He attended the Site Visit with Mr. Ashmore and
45 46	five abutters. The group met at Lot 4B and walked the North property line of Lot 4B to
40 47	where the lot opened up to the view of Somes Sound. Space is staked for a septic system
4/	where the lot opened up to the view of bothes bound. Space is staked for a septic system

capable of accommodating a six-bedroom house on the East end of the lot. Numerous roads are marked on the plan, many of which were included on the original subdivision plan and never removed, despite the fact that they are no longer in existence. There are small gravel and mulch tote-roads on the property. Towards the East end of lot 4B, stakes mark the proposed lot division and the new East-West line. The group walked towards lot 4A, behind the existing residence. The septic field for this residence was pointed out. Also on lot 4A is a septic field capable of accommodating an eight-bedroom house. Mr. Ashmore pointed out where the well was located for lot 4A. Mr. Ashmore presented an updated survey with the well location noted on it.

Mr. Ashmore hopes to divide the existing lot 4 into two two-acre lots. Mr. Ashmore explained the access points for the various lots. Mr. Ashmore received an email from the owner of lot 3, stating there were no objections to the proposed subdivision. Lot 3 is the only lot in the subdivision sold.

 Chair Hanley read the Subdivision Ordinance, Section 5.1.3.2: "if a revision involves the creation of additional lots or units or extends the boundaries of the subdivision a Public Hearing shall be required. Otherwise the Board shall determine if a Public Hearing is required."

Chair Hanley asked about the many roads. The roads on the survey were all there at the time the subdivision was created in the late 1980s. The Planning Board at that time requested all existing roads be included on the survey.

CEO Keene stated most of the roads on the survey were no longer in existence or in use. She encouraged taking roads that are not in use off the survey. The Ordinance states roads can't be counted toward lot area. Any actual roads would have to be subtracted from lot size. Mr. Ashmore agreed to remove roads no longer in use.

Chair Hanley noted there are tote-roads and pathways on the site that are not on the survey. Mr. Ashmore agreed there's a path with woodchips down the middle of lot 5 that's not on the survey.

The driveway used to access the barn on the property should remain on the survey.

The consensus of the Board was there are things on the survey that need to be removed, and things not on the survey that need to be added. Mr. Ashmore agreed to remove everything not used for access.

Chair Hanley explained the three-step subdivision review process. He asked for public comment.

Abutter Paul Douglass inquired about Mr. Ashmore's purpose for dividing the lot. It was Mr. Ashmore's intention to divide the lot, in case he decides to sell at a later date. Selling the lot will not occur imminently.

 Mr. Douglass asked about the inclusion of the leachfields and their ability to support large houses. Is the Planning Board potentially approving the leachfields?

CEO Keene affirmed the leachfields would also be approved should the Planning Board approve the lot division.

Mr. Douglass inquired why the leachfields were so large.

Mr. Ashmore noted the leachfields' optimum capacity was retained, not knowing what size building might be built in the future. There are no specific plans to build such large buildings attached to each leachfield. All these sites were part of the original subdivision and had been approved at that time. Mr. Ashmore is proposing a building on lot 4B and plans to build a six-bedroom leachfield there. He may build a four-bedroom house, but a leachfield of this size will allow for expansion of the home built.

 On lot 4A the existing system supports the barn there. The leachfield capacity there is for an eight-bedroom house, however there are no plans to build one. Currently Mr. Ashmore has no plans to build a leachfield on lot 4A. Chair Hanley felt maintaining land appropriate for the septic system at full capacity helps with marketing as well. Mr. Ashmore agreed. He wanted to maintain the possibility. Mr. Ashmore noted multi-family dwellings are permitted. It is unfeasible to do so at this time.

Chair Hanley noted that the views from lot 4B are beautiful. He wondered if such a viewshed gives Acadia National Park the right to offer their opinion. Mr. Ashmore was unaware of any right the Park had to offer an opinion.

Mr. Douglass asked if multi-family was permitted. CEO Keene affirmed it was.

The Board agreed the excess roads on the survey needed to be removed and labeling for access roads and driveways should be added. 50-foot right of ways should be included on the survey as well.

Ms. Randolph inquired about existing leachfield 2, which Mr. Ashmore is currently using. There is also a proposed leachfield on the opposite size of the house. Mr. Ashmore confirmed he was merely noting the leachfield areas that could be used, and not abandoning his existing leachfield for a new one. The other staked out septic locations on the survey were created at the time of the original subdivision. Since the subdivision was approved leachfields have been designed for lot 4, in order to be aware of the boundaries for those leachfields. Mr. Ashmore pointed out the fields for lots 1 and 2. The Board agreed those fields and wells should be identified on the survey.

Ms. Randolph inquired about the triangle on the survey at the west side of 4B. It appeared to be the surveyor's mark for determining the radius of the curve.

Mr. Ashmore pointed out the wetland delineation for lot 4. A wetland area on the survey was noted as having an easement for all the lots. Mr. Ashmore stated it was forested wetland. There were trails available to residents in that area.

1	
2	Chair Hanley pointed out a quarry area on lot 3 that is on the updated plan Mr. Ashmore
3	presented at the meeting, but not on earlier surveys.
4	
5	Additionally, it was pointed out that some surveys had notes on them that were difficult to
6	read. Other surveys were noted as being more clearly legible.
7	
8	It was determined 10 large surveys with the changes noted were required by Friday,
9	October 25 <sup>th</sup> .
10	
11	MS. EATON MOVED, WITH MS. RANDOLPH SECONDING, THAT THIS SUBDIVISION
12	APPROVAL APPLICATION INVOLVES CREATING AN ADDITIONAL LOT, AND
13	THEREFORE REQUIRES A PUBLIC HEARING IN ACCORDANCE WITH SUBDIVISION
14	ORDINANCE SECTION 5.1.3.2. MOTION APPROVED 3-0.
15	
16	IV. Other
17	There was no other business.
18	
19	V. Adjournment
20	MS. RANDOLPH MOVED, WITH MS. EATON SECONDING, ADJOURNMENT. MOTION
21	APPROVED 3-0.
22	
23	The meeting adjourned at 6:50PM.
24	